STATE OF MICHIGAN

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC GROWTH OFFICE OF FINANCIAL AND INSURANCE REGULATION

Before the Commissioner of Financial and Insurance Regulation

Steven	Compton,
	Petitioner

Case No. 07-644-L v Docket No. 2007-456

Office of Financial and Insurance Services,

Respondent

For the Petitioner: For the Respondent:

August L. Biache, Jr. 61525 Wagon Wheel Court Washington, MI 48094 Marlon Roberts
Office of Financial & Insurance Regulation
611 W. Ottawa, 3rd Floor, P.O. Box 30220
Lansing, MI 48909

Issued and entered this 28th day of April 2008 by Ken Ross Commissioner

FINAL DECISION

The Administrative Law Judge issued an Amended Proposal for Decision (PFD) dated March 5, 2008. Neither party filed exceptions.

The findings and conclusions in the PFD, other than the two provisions cited below, are in accordance with the preponderance of the evidence and are supported by reasoned opinion.

- 1. Finding of Fact #18 (PFD page 9) is not required for the proper resolution of this matter and is therefore not adopted.
 - 2. The following Conclusion of Law appears on page 10 of the PFD:

 Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that he is
 currently trustworthy, that his prior felony conviction for actions in 2005
 was an aberration in an otherwise spotless record, and that he would be

an asset to the community and state of Michigan if licensed as an insurance producer.

This Conclusion is not required for the proper resolution of this matter and is therefore not adopted.

The remaining findings and conclusions in the PFD are adopted. The PFD is attached and made part of this final decision.

In addition to the considerations above, it is important that neither party filed exceptions to the Proposal for Decision. Michigan courts have long recognized that the failure to file exceptions constitutes a waiver of any objections not raised. *Attorney General v. Public Service Comm* 136 Mich App 52 (1984).

ORDER

Therefore, it is ORDERED that the refusal to issue an insurance producer's license to the Petitioner is upheld.